Case Description: Mr. E – Police Candidate Interpretive Report

Mr. E is a 27-year-old, single male candidate for an entry-level police officer position in a large urban agency. His background revealed a stable work history as a lead package sorter with no reprimands or legal conflicts. Although several coworkers described him as “entitled,” “self-promoting,” and “bossy,” his supervisor (and best friend since high school) attributed those sentiments to coworker resentment over his comparatively high productivity and associated bonuses. During the interview, Mr. E frequently interrupted and spoke over the psychologist. He denied having any conflicts with coworkers and insisted that he was highly regarded and respected by the other workers on his crew.
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ID Number: Mr. E
Age: 27
Gender: Male
Marital Status: Not reported
Years of Education: Not reported
Date Assessed: 10/14/2021
MMPI-3 Validity Scales

Raw Score: 1 1 13 3 0 2 5 2 5 11
T Score: 36 39 50 50 41 53 40 35 56 65
Response %: 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Cannot Say (Raw): 0

Comparison Group Data: Police Candidate (Men and Women), N = 2,036
Mean Score (±1 SD): 39 39 52F 42 43 44 45 45 57 65
Standard Dev (±1 SD): 5 5 5 2 4 4 6 6 12 7
Percent scoring at or below test taker: 54 71 61 99.6 84 99 23 11 58 47

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.
MMPI-3 Higher-Order (H-O) and Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Score</th>
<th>T Score</th>
<th>Response %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 6 5 0 1 0 2 3 3 4 7</td>
<td>32 60 46 36 42 36 44 57 44 55 51</td>
<td>100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison Group Data: Police Candidate (Men and Women), N = 2,036
Mean Score: 37 42 41 39 40 42 43 43 39 42 42
Standard Dev (+1 SD): 5 6 6 4 5 6 6 5 5 6 7
Percent scoring at or below test taker: 21 99.6 85 64 80 39 71 98 87 98 94

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a “---”; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.
MMPI-3 Somatic/Cognitive Dysfunction and Internalizing Scales

Comparison Group Data: Police Candidate (Men and Women), N = 2,036

Mean Score (±1 SD):

- MLS: 36, 43, 44, 40, 45, 42, 41, 41, 42, 40, 47, 40, 40, 44
- NUC: 4, 6, 3, 4, 2, 4, 3, 5, 5, 5, 8, 4, 4, 4
- EAT: 66, 96, 96, 79, 98, 99.3, 89, 86, 51, 72, 93, 70, 73, 90

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.
MMPI-3 Externalizing and Interpersonal Scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Raw Score</th>
<th>T Score</th>
<th>Response %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FML</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYN</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFI</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOM</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison Group Data: Police Candidate (Men and Women), N = 2,036

Mean Score: 41 44 42 41 45 43 41 51 49 43 45 42

Standard Dev (± 1 SD): 6 7 5 5 8 5 8 8 6 7 6

Percent scoring at or below test taker: 78 81 67 98 90 94 96 81 100 71 81 59

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.
MMPI-3 PSY-5 Scales

Raw Score:  
11  4  3  2  3

T Score: 
63  59  45  45  47

Response %: 
100 100 100 100 100

Comparison Group Data:  Police Candidate (Men and Women), N = 2,036
Mean Score (±1 SD):  
47  42  42  40  45
6  6  6  5  7

Percent scoring at or below test taker:
99.3  99.3  75  87  67

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.

AGGR  Aggressiveness
PSYC  Psychoticism
DISC  Disconstraint
NEGE  Negative Emotionality/Neuroticism
INTR  Introversion/Low Positive Emotionality
## MMPI-3 T Scores (by Domain)

### Protocol Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMPI-3 T Scores (by Domain)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content Non-Responsiveness</strong></td>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>CRIN</td>
<td>VRIN</td>
<td>TRIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Over-Reporting</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under-Reporting</strong></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Substantive Scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Scores Shown in Bold Font Are Interpreted in the Report.</th>
<th>CNS</th>
<th>CRIN</th>
<th>VRIN</th>
<th>TRIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Somatic/Cognitive Dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional Dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thought Dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavioral Dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpersonal Functioning</strong></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** This information is provided to facilitate interpretation following the recommended structure for MMPI-3 interpretation in Chapter 5 of the *MMPI-3 Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation*, which provides details in the text and an outline in Table 5-1.
This interpretive report is intended for use by a professional qualified to interpret the MMPI-3 in the context of preemployment psychological evaluations of police and other law enforcement candidates. It focuses on identifying problems; it does not convey potential strengths. The information it contains should be considered in the context of the test taker's background, the demands of the position under consideration, the clinical interview, findings from supplemental tests, and other relevant information.

The interpretive statements in the Protocol Validity section of the report are based on T scores derived from the general MMPI-3 normative sample, as well as scores obtained by the multisite sample of 2,036 individuals that make up the Police Candidate Comparison Group.

The interpretive statements in the Clinical Findings and Diagnostic Considerations sections of the report are based on T scores derived from the general MMPI-3 normative sample. Following recommended practice, only T scores of 65 and higher (with a few exceptions) are considered clinically significant. Scores at this clinical level are generally rare among police candidates.

Statements in the Comparison Group Findings and Job-Relevant Correlates sections are based on comparisons with scores obtained by the Police Candidate Comparison Group. Statements in these sections may be based on T scores that, although less than 65, are nevertheless uncommon in reference to the comparison group.

The report includes extensive annotation, which appears as superscripts following each statement in the narrative, keyed to Endnotes with accompanying Research References, which appear in the final two sections of the report. Additional information about the annotation features is provided in the headnotes to these sections and in the MMPI-3 User's Guide for the Public Safety Candidate Interpretive Reports.

SYNOPSIS

This is a valid MMPI-3 protocol. Scores on the Substantive Scales indicate clinically significant interpersonal dysfunction. Interpersonal difficulties relate to overly domineering behavior.

Comparison group findings point to additional possible concerns about persecutory beliefs, odd perceptions and thoughts, and over-assertiveness.

Possible job-relevant problems are identified in the following domains: Emotional Control and Stress Tolerance, Routine Task Performance, Decision-Making and Judgment, Feedback Acceptance, Social Competence and Teamwork, Integrity, Conscientiousness and Dependability, and Impulse Control.

PROTOCOL VALIDITY

This is a valid MMPI-3 protocol. There are no problems with unscorable items. The test taker responded to the items relevantly on the basis of their content, and there are no indications of over- or under-reporting.
CLINICAL FINDINGS

Clinical-level symptoms, personality characteristics, and behavioral tendencies of the test taker are described in this section and organized according to an empirically guided framework. (Please see Chapter 5 of the MMPI-3 Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation for details.) Statements containing the word "reports" are based on the item content of MMPI-3 scales, whereas statements that include the word "likely" are based on empirical correlates of scale scores. Specific sources for each statement can be viewed with the annotation features of this report.

The test taker describes himself as having strong opinions, as standing up for himself, as assertive and direct, and as able to lead others. He likely believes he has leadership capabilities, but is viewed by others as overly domineering.

There are no indications of clinically significant somatic, cognitive, emotional, thought, or behavioral dysfunction in this protocol.

DIAGNOSTIC CONSIDERATIONS

This section provides recommendations for psychodiagnostic assessment based on the test taker's MMPI-3 results. It is recommended that he be evaluated for the following:

Interpersonal Disorders
- Disorders characterized by excessively domineering behavior

COMPARISON GROUP FINDINGS

This section describes the MMPI-3 substantive scale findings in the context of the Police Candidate Comparison Group. Specific sources for each statement can be accessed with the annotation features of this report. Job-related correlates of these results, if any, are provided in the subsequent Job-Relevant Correlates section.

Unusual Thoughts, Perceptions, and Beliefs
The test taker reports a comparatively high level of unusual thinking for a police candidate. Only 1.0% of comparison group members convey such thoughts at this or a higher level. More specifically, he reports a relatively high level of persecutory beliefs for a police candidate. Only 4.0% of comparison group members convey this or a greater level of persecutory thinking.

He reports a comparatively high level of odd perceptions and thoughts for a police candidate. Only 4.0% of comparison group members convey this or a greater level of unusual experiences.

Interpersonal Problems
The test taker's responses indicate a level of dominance that may be incompatible with public safety requirements for good interpersonal functioning. This level of domineering behavior is uncommon among police candidates. Only 6.0% of comparison group members give evidence of this level of dominance. He reports a comparatively high level of over-assertiveness for a police candidate. Only 3.0% of comparison group members convey this or a greater level of interpersonally aggressive behavior.
JOB-RELEVANT CORRELATES

Job-relevant personality characteristics and behavioral tendencies of the test taker are described in this section and organized according to ten problem domains commonly identified in the professional literature as relevant to public safety candidate suitability. (Please see MMPI-3 User's Guide for the Public Safety Candidate Interpretive Reports for details.) Statements that begin with "Compared with other police candidates" are based on correlations with other self-report measures obtained in police candidate samples that included individuals who were subsequently hired as well as those who were not. Statements that begin with "He is more likely than most police officers or trainees" are based on correlations with outcome data obtained in samples of hired candidates during academy or field training, probation, and/or the postprobation period. Specific sources for each statement can be accessed with the annotation features of this report.

Emotional Control and Stress Tolerance Problems
Compared with other police candidates, the test taker is more likely to believe he has been mistreated8.

He is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit difficulties performing under stressful conditions9.

Routine Task Performance Problems
The test taker is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit difficulties carrying out tasks under non-stressful conditions10; cognitive adaptation problems11; and report writing problems11.

Decision-Making and Judgment Problems
Compared with other police candidates, the test taker is more likely to have thoughts, perceptions, and/or experiences that are rarely reported12 and to exhibit difficulty with decision-making and judgment13.

He is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit difficulties prioritizing multiple and essential functions of the job and performing them in quick succession while maintaining good environmental awareness of vital information (in other words, multi-tasking)11. He is also more likely to exhibit difficulties with effective decision-making9 and with seeking assistance in complex situations as needed14.

Feedback Acceptance Problems
Compared with other police candidates, the test taker is less likely to reflect on his behavior15 and more likely to brush off criticism and other negative feedback15.

Social Competence and Teamwork Problems
Compared with other police candidates, the test taker is more likely to be opinionated and outspoken15; to be demanding15; and to have difficulty trusting others16. He is also more likely to feel maligned by others6; to have problems with social competence13; and to have difficulties with teamwork17.

He is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit difficulties stemming from rude and/or overbearing behavior that results in complaints from the public18 and cooperating with peers and/or supervisors19.

Integrity Problems
The test taker is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit difficulties leading to sustained internal affairs investigations20; complaints from the public21; and investigations about conduct unbecoming a police officer21.

Conscientiousness and Dependability Problems
The test taker is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit difficulties with initiative and drive, such as obtaining information and evidence needed to solve crimes and explain incidents22. He is also more likely to exhibit difficulties reliably attending court23; with punctuality and attendance24; and with conscientiousness25.
Impulse Control Problems
The test taker is more likely than most police officers or trainees to exhibit problems controlling and de-escalating conflict.

The candidate's test scores are not associated with problems in the following domains:
- Assertiveness
- Substance Use

ITEM-LEVEL INFORMATION

Unscorable Responses
The test taker produced scorable responses to all the MMPI-3 items.

Critical Responses
Seven MMPI-3 scales—Suicidal/Death Ideation (SUI), Helplessness/Hopelessness (HLP), Anxiety-Related Experiences (ARX), Ideas of Persecution (RC6), Aberrant Experiences (RC8), Substance Abuse (SUB), and Aggression (AGG)—have been designated by the test authors as having critical item content that may require immediate attention and follow-up. Items answered by the individual in the keyed direction (True or False) on a critical scale are listed below if his T score on that scale is 65 or higher. However, any item answered in the keyed direction on SUI is listed.

The test taker has not produced an elevated T score (≥ 65) on any of these scales or answered any SUI items in the keyed direction.

User-Designated Item-Level Information
The following item-level information is based on the report user's selection of additional scales, and/or of lower cutoffs for the critical scales from the previous section. Items answered by the test taker in the keyed direction (True or False) on a selected scale are listed below if his T score on that scale is at the user-designated cutoff score or higher. The percentage of the MMPI-3 normative sample (NS) and of the Police Candidate Comparison Group (CG) that answered each item in the keyed direction are provided in parentheses following the item content.

Thought Dysfunction (THD, T Score = 60)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 35.7%, CG 14.5%)
Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 36.5%, CG 16.4%)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 8.3%, CG 1.0%)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 18.2%, CG 5.3%)
Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 16.4%, CG 6.0%)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 8.9%, CG 0.7%)

Ideas of Persecution (RC6, T Score = 57)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 8.3%, CG 1.0%)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 30.9%, CG 9.6%)
Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 16.4%, CG 6.0%)

Aberrant Experiences (RC8, T Score = 55)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 35.7%, CG 14.5%)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 38.0%, CG 15.8%)
Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 36.5%, CG 16.4%)
Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 18.2%, CG 5.3%)

Note: Item numbers and content are included in the actual reports. To protect test security, the item details do not appear in this sample report.
Dominance (DOM, T Score = 69)
  Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 85.2%, CG 96.5%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 78.7%, CG 78.2%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 68.8%, CG 40.8%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 74.7%, CG 73.7%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 74.3%, CG 90.2%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 60.7%, CG 74.0%)
  Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 80.6%, CG 97.6%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 66.5%, CG 87.1%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 39.8%, CG 12.1%)

Aggressiveness (AGGR, T Score = 63)
  Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 85.2%, CG 96.5%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 78.7%, CG 78.2%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 68.8%, CG 40.8%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 74.7%, CG 73.7%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 74.3%, CG 90.2%)
  Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 74.7%, CG 98.6%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 60.7%, CG 74.0%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 66.5%, CG 87.1%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 44.6%, CG 22.8%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 42.2%, CG 30.7%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 39.8%, CG 12.1%)

Psychoticism (PSYC, T Score = 59)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 35.7%, CG 14.5%)
  Item number and content omitted. (False; NS 36.5%, CG 16.4%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 18.2%, CG 5.3%)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; NS 8.9%, CG 0.7%)

Critical Follow-up Items
This section contains a list of items to which the test taker responded in a manner warranting follow-up. The items were identified by public safety candidate screening experts as having critical content. Clinicians are encouraged to follow up on these statements with the candidate by making related inquiries, rather than reciting the item(s) verbatim. Each item is followed by the candidate’s response, the percentage of Police Candidate Comparison Group members who gave this response, and the scale(s) on which the item appears.
  Item number and content omitted. (True; 5.1%; BXD, RC9, IMP, DISC)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; 0.9%; F)
  Item number and content omitted. (True; 5.0%; VRIN, BXD, RC9, IMP, DISC)
ENDNOTES

This section lists for each statement in the report the MMPI-3 score(s) that triggered it. In addition, each statement is identified as a Test Response, if based on item content, a Correlate, if based on empirical correlates, or an Inference, if based on the report authors' judgment. (This information can also be accessed on-screen by placing the cursor on a given statement.) For correlate-based statements, research references (Ref. No.) are provided, keyed to the consecutively numbered reference list following the endnotes.

1 Test Response: DOM=69
2 Correlate: DOM=69, Ref. 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 14
3 Inference: DOM=69
4 Test Response: THD=60; PSYC=59
5 Test Response: RC6=57
6 Test Response: RC8=55
7 Test Response: AGGR=63
8 Correlate: RC6=57, Ref. 3
9 Correlate: RC8=55, Ref. 2; PSYC=59, Ref. 2
10 Correlate: RC8=55, Ref. 9, 11
11 Correlate: RC8=55, Ref. 2
12 Correlate: THD=60, Ref. 13; RC8=55, Ref. 5, 13; PSYC=59, Ref. 5, 13
13 Correlate: THD=60, Ref. 15; RC6=57, Ref. 15
14 Correlate: DOM=69, Ref. 8
15 Correlate: DOM=69, Ref. 2
16 Correlate: RC8=55, Ref. 2; PSYC=59, Ref. 5, 13
17 Correlate: RC6=57, Ref. 15
18 Correlate: AGGR=63, Ref. 8
19 Correlate: DOM=69, Ref. 8; AGGR=63, Ref. 2, 11
20 Correlate: RC8=55, Ref. 13; PSYC=59, Ref. 13
21 Correlate: RC6=57, Ref. 11, 13
22 Correlate: PSYC=59, Ref. 10, 12
23 Correlate: THD=60, Ref. 11, 13; RC8=55, Ref. 11; PSYC=59, Ref. 11, 13
24 Correlate: THD=60, Ref. 2, 8; RC8=55, Ref. 2, 8; PSYC=59, Ref. 2, 8
25 Correlate: THD=60, Ref. 2; RC8=55, Ref. 2; PSYC=59, Ref. 2
RESEARCH REFERENCE LIST

The following studies are sources for empirical correlates identified in the Endnotes section of this report.


End of Report
## ITEM RESPONSES

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|    | 1.1| 2.1| 3.1| 4.1| 5.2| 6.2| 7.1| 8.1| 9.2| 10.2| 11.1| 12.1| 13.2| 14.1| 15.2| 16.1| 17.2| 18.2| 19.1| 20.1| 21.1| 22.2| 23.1| 24.2| 25.2| 26.2| 27.2| 28.2| 29.2| 30.2| 31.2| 32.1| 33.2| 34.1| 35.2| 36.1| 37.1| 38.2| 39.1| 40.1| 41.2| 42.2| 43.2| 44.2| 45.2| 46.2| 47.1| 48.2| 49.2| 50.2| 51.2| 52.1| 53.2| 54.2| 55.1| 56.2| 57.1| 58.2| 59.1| 60.1| 61.1| 62.1| 63.2| 64.2| 65.1| 66.2| 67.2| 68.1| 69.2| 70.2| 71.2| 72.1| 73.1| 74.2| 75.2| 76.2| 77.2| 78.2| 79.2| 80.2| 81.2| 82.1| 83.1| 84.2| 85.2| 86.2| 87.1| 88.1| 89.2| 90.2| 91.1| 92.2| 93.2| 94.1| 95.2| 96.2| 97.2| 98.2| 99.1| 100.2| 101.2| 102.1| 103.2| 104.1| 105.1| 106.1| 107.2| 108.2| 109.2| 110.2| 111.2| 112.1| 113.1| 114.2| 115.2| 116.2| 117.2| 118.2| 119.2| 120.2| 121.2| 122.1| 123.2| 124.2| 125.1| 126.2| 127.1| 128.2| 129.2| 130.2| 131.2| 132.2| 133.2| 134.1| 135.2| 136.2| 137.2| 138.2| 139.2| 140.1| 141.2| 142.1| 143.2| 144.2| 145.2| 146.2| 147.1| 148.2| 149.2| 150.2| 151.2| 152.2| 153.1| 154.2| 155.2| 156.1| 157.1| 158.2| 159.2| 160.2| 161.2| 162.1| 163.1| 164.2| 165.2| 166.1| 167.2| 168.2| 169.2| 170.1| 171.2| 172.2| 173.2| 174.1| 175.2| 176.2| 177.2| 178.2| 179.1| 180.2| 181.1| 182.1| 183.1| 184.2| 185.1| 186.2| 187.2| 188.2| 189.1| 190.1| 191.2| 192.2| 193.2| 194.1| 195.1| 196.2| 197.1| 198.2| 199.1| 200.2| 201.2| 202.1| 203.2| 204.2| 205.2| 206.1| 207.1| 208.2| 209.2| 210.2| 211.2| 212.2| 213.1| 214.2| 215.2| 216.2| 217.1| 218.1| 219.1| 220.2| 221.1| 222.1| 223.2| 224.2| 225.2| 226.2| 227.1| 228.2| 229.2| 230.2| 231.2| 232.2| 233.2| 234.1| 235.2| 236.1| 237.1| 238.1| 239.1| 240.2| 241.2| 242.2| 243.1| 244.2| 245.2| 246.1| 247.2| 248.2| 249.2| 250.2| 251.2| 252.2| 253.2| 254.2| 255.2| 256.1| 257.2| 258.1| 259.1| 260.2| 261.2| 262.1| 263.1| 264.2| 265.1| 266.2| 267.2| 268.2| 269.1| 270.2| 271.2| 272.1| 273.2| 274.2| 275.2| 276.1| 277.2| 278.2| 279.2| 280.2| 281.2| 282.1| 283.1| 284.1| 285.2| 286.2| 287.2| 288.2| 289.2| 290.2| 291.2| 292.2| 293.2| 294.2| 295.1| 296.2| 297.2| 298.2| 299.1| 300.2| 301.2| 302.1| 303.2| 304.2| 305.2| 306.2| 307.2| 308.2| 309.2| 310.2| 311.2| 312.2| 313.1| 314.2| 315.2| 316.1| 317.2| 318.2| 319.2| 320.2| 321.1| 322.2| 323.1| 324.2| 325.1| 326.1| 327.1| 328.2| 329.2| 330.2| 331.2| 332.1| 333.1| 334.2| 335.2