Using Psychological Evaluations to Improve Patient Care and Outcomes

Daniel Bruns, PsyD

Greeley, Colorado

Daniel Bruns, PsyD

- Private practice 28 years in North Colorado
- Guideline Involvement
 - Colorado / ACOEM/ ODG/ California
 - AMA Guides to Impairment
- AAPM Textbook on Pain Management
- Chronic pain research
- Psychological test author*

Managing Patients

with Chronic Pain

"There is no reason why you should be feeling pain..."

How do you respond when a patient says:

You don't believe my pain is real, do you? My pain is not in my head – something must be wrong or I wouldn't feel this way.

How do you respond when a patient says:

If you can't explain why I have pain,

could you refer me to somebody

smarter who can figure it out?

To have great pain is to have certainty.

To hear that another has pain is to have doubt.

(Scarry, 1985)

Assessing patients with chronic pain:

What have we learned?

The Biomedical View of Pain

- Physical health and mental health are separate and distinct
- Pain is either
 - -Real and biological
 - Or
 - -Not Real and "In your head"
 - Some people lie about pain (malingering)
 - Others imagine pain (psychopathology)

Evidence Proves That This Theory Is Wrong

The Nature of Pain

A Brief Review of The Pain Sensory System

Nociceptor = pain sensory receptor

Nociceptor types

- <u>Mechanosensitive</u> (cutting, pinching, stretching, deforming)
- Thermosensitive (hot or cold)
- Chemosensitive
 - activated by pain-producing substances, e.g. Substance P
- Polymodal (all the above)
- "<u>Sleeping</u>" (activated by inflammation)
 - hyperalgesia, central sensitization, and allodynia

The Two Pain Sensory Systems: Different Nerves, Different Paths

First Pain (Acute)

 A-∂ nerve fibers follow neospinalthalamic tract to sensorimotor cortex

Second pain (Chronic)

 C nerve fibers follow paleospinalthalamic tract to the reticular and limbic systems

Acute Pain Sensory System

- AKA: "First pain" / "fast pain" (100 mph)
- A high speed conduit of information to the brain's cognitive center
- A sharp, localized sensation associated with withdrawal from stimulus

Chronic Pain Sensory System

- AKA "Second Pain" / "Slow Pain" (1 mph)
- A low speed conduit routed through the arousal and emotion centers (fight or flight)
- A dull, nonlocalized ache, combining the effect of multiple pain receptors





How are pain

and snow alike?

downloaded from www.PearsonClinical.com

How are Color Blindness, Tinnitus, And Chronic Pain All Alike?

How Are Severe Injuries and Strobe Lights Alike?

The Blue Dot of Pain

How does singing in the rain differ from...



"Chinese" water torture?



Why can't we all just sing in the rain?



- The helpless context makes the water punishment aversive
- The repetition made it intolerable

TSSP

- Unlike First Pain, Second Pain has a distinct, neurologically cumulative effect
- TSSP = Temporal summation of second pain
 - Causes "windup" of dorsal horn neurons
- "Windup" contributes to central sensitization of pain

Neurologically, chronic pain is more closely associated with memory and emotion than it is with sensory functions

(Apkarian, 2009)



Are you male or female?

downloaded from www.PearsonClinical.com

Strange But True:

What Science Tells Us About Pain

The Perception of Pain



• f-MRI studies show that activity in

the brain's pain center can be triggered by:

- Physical pain
- Social pain (rejection) (Eisenberger, et al 2003)
- Seeing a loved one in pain (Singer, 2004)
- Imagined pain (Derbyshire, 2004)
- Cognitive catastrophizing (Gracely, 2004)

Strange but true...

- Swearing reduces pain – (Stephens, 2009)
- Talk therapy reduces pain too

 (Manchikanti, 2010)

Strange but true...

- Opioid use may increase pain –(Hay 2009)
- Placebos actually reduce nociception

 –(Eippert , 2009)

Strange but true...

- Some antidepressants are powerful analgesics
 - (Citrome, 2012)
- Tylenol can reduce emotional pain
 (DeWall, 2010)

Strange but true...

- Chronic pain shrinks the brain
 brains appear 10-20 years older
 Apkarian et al 2004
- Pain can cause arthritis – Fiorentino, 2008

Strange but true...



- Chronic pain rewires the brain
 - Geha et al, 2008, in Neuron
- Brain changes may reverse with pain treatment

 Seminowicz, et al 2011

Strange but true...

 Inflammation can cause depression – (Raison, 2011; Miller 2009)





Why can't somebody find out what is wrong with me and fix it?

Rethinking Our Approach

The Value of

Psychological Assessments

How Good Are Psychometric Tests?

- Psychological tests are comparable to medical tests in their ability to diagnose and predict outcome (Meyer, et al, 2001)
- Psychological tests better than MRI at predicting lumbar surgical outcome, (Carragee, et al, 2005; 2004)

The Science of Psychometrics

- Scientific surveys apply the science of psychometrics to the assessment of the feelings of populations, and predict behavior
- Standardized psychological tests apply the science of psychometrics to the assessment of the feelings of individuals, and predict behavior

Commonly Used Psychological Tests

- Tests of General
 Psychopathology
 - MMPI-2
 - MMPI-2-RF
 - MCMI-III
 - PAI

- Biopsychosocial Tests
 - BHI 2*
 - MBMD
- Brief Biopsychosocial

Tests

- BBHI 2*
- P3
- * Conflict of interest

My Own Research*

And Illustrative Case Histories

*Conflict of interest

Battery for Health Improvement 2

- Biopsychosocial test
 - -217 items/ 18 scales + other measures
 - -30-35 minutes
- Uses
 - Presurgical psych evals
 - Pre-medical treatment psych evals
 - Interaction of psych and physical symptoms
- Bruns and Disorbio, 2003

Standards

- Medications
 –Safe and effective
- Psychological tests
 Valid and reliable

Validation of the BHI 2 And BBHI 2

- 2500 psych evals at 106 sites in 36 US states
- Data gathered on both medical patients and community members
 - Two norm groups
 - Average American community member
 - Average American rehab patient

Scales	Raw	TS	pores	T-Score Profile	Bating	Percentile	
	Score Patient		Comm.		, i		BHIZ
Validity Scales		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10 40 50 60	90		
Self-Disclosure	100	49	52		Average	46%	Normal
Defensiveness	11	45	38		Mod. Low	31%	INUITIAI
Physical Symptom Scale	8						Drofile
Somatic Complaints	14	47	53		Average	49%	Profile
Pain Complaints	17	44	50		Average	31%	
Functional Complaints	14	51	62	</td <td>Mod. High</td> <td>58%</td> <td></td>	Mod. High	58%	
Muscular Bracing	11	48	54		Average	41%	
Affective Scales					-		
Depression	12	45	50		Average	30%	
Anxiety	12	45	48		Average	29%	
Hostility	16	49	51		Average	49%	
Character Scales	Character Scales				·		Croy - Avorago
Borderline	13	50	52		Average	49%	Gray – Average
Symptom Dependency	9	50	55		Average	45%	Range
Chronic Maladjustment	14	57	58		Average	75%	8
Substance Abuse	7	56	59		Average	77%	
Perseverance	31	53	52		Average	61%	فلينشخ وكالر الكافي الكالية
Psychosocial Scales							
Family Dysfunction	9	48	50		Average	42%	
Survivor of Violence	7	50	53		Average	53%	
Doctor Dissatisfaction	10	53	57		Average	58%	
Job Dissatisfaction	17	53	57		Average	60%	
					•	191.0	

For each scale:

No diamonds outside the 40-60 range means scale score is average.

One diamond outside indicates a moderate elevation (more sx than healthy people)

Two diamonds outside indicates a clinical elevation (more sx than other patients)

Case History 1

- Male work comp patient with severe pain
- Not responding to treatment
- Overusing opioids
- Will surgery help?

Battery for Health	n Impr	ovem	ent 2	Patient Profile		
Scales	Raw	T Scores		T-Score Profile	Rating	Percentile
	Score	Patient	Comm.			
Validity Scales		•	<u> </u>	10 40 50 60 9	90	
Self-Disclosure	154	64	67		High	92%
Defensiveness	9	40	33		Low	17%
Physical Symptom Scale	s					
Somatic Complaints	26	56	65		Mod. High	76%
Pain Complaints	62	69	78		Very High	95%
Functional Complaints	17	57	69		Mod. High	76%
Muscular Bracing	20	68	76		Very High	97 %
Affective Scales		•	•			
Depression	28	66	72		High	92%
Anxiety	27	72	74		Ext. High	99%
Hostility	17	51	52		Average	56%
Character Scales				······		
Borderline	14	51	54		Average	56%
Symptom Dependency	10	52	57		Average	60%
Chronic Maladjustment	18	65	67	→ →	High	93%
Substance Abuse	14	74	80		Ext. High	98%
Perseverance	22	38	36		Low	12%
Psychosocial Scales						
Family Dysfunction	2	34	36	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Very Low	4%
Survivor of Violence	6	48	51		Average	47%
Doctor Dissatisfaction	18	70	75		Very High	97%
Job Dissatisfaction	24	62	69		High	90%
			1			[V 1.0]

PAIN COMPLAINTS ITEMS	PATIENT		MEDIAN*
Head (headache pain):	10		3
Jaw or face:	6		0
Neck or shoulders:	4		4
Arms or hands:	2		1
Chest:	10		0
Abdomen or stomach:	8		0
Middle back:	4		4
Lower back:	10		8
Genital area:	0		0
Legs or feet:	8		5
Overall highest level of pain in the past month:	10		8
Overall lowest level of pain in the past month:	10		3
Overall pain level at time of testing:	10		
Maximum Tolerable Pain:	0		-
PAIN DIMENSIONS			
Pain Range:	0		
Peak Pain:	10		
Pain Tolerance Index:	(-10)	7	

*Based on a sample of 316 patients with lower back pain/injury.

Battery for Healt	h Impr	ovem	ent 2	Patient Profile		
Scalos	Raw	T So	cores	T-Score Profile	Bating	Porcontilo
Scales	Score	Patient	Comm.		naung	Percentile
Validity Scales	_	•	\diamond	10 40 50 60	90	
Self-Disclosure	154	64	67		High	92%
Defensiveness	9	40	33		Low	17%
Physical Symptom Scale	es			·		
Somatic Complaints	26	56	65		Mod. High	76%
Pain Complaints	62	69	78		Very High	95%
Functional Complaints	17	57	69		Mod. High	76%
Muscular Bracing	20	68	76		Very High	97 %
Affective Scales						
Depression	28	66	72		High	92%
Anxiety	27	72	74		Ext. High	99%
Hostility	17	51	52		Average	56%
Character Scales				·····		
Borderline	14	51	54		Average	56%
Symptom Dependency	10	52	57		Average	60%
Chronic Maladjustment	18	65	67		High	93%
Substance Abuse	14	74	80		Ext. High	98%
Perseverance	22	38	36		Low	12%
Psychosocial Scales] <i>[[]][[]</i> }		
Family Dysfunction	2	34	36	★ (())	Very Low	4%
Survivor of Violence	6	48	51		Average	47%
Doctor Dissatisfaction	18	70	75		Very High	97%
Job Dissatisfaction	24	62	69		High	90%
					-	[V

Treatment Plan

- Widespread pain with poor pain tolerance
 - Pain management
- Extreme anxiety, high depression
 - Rx and cognitive therapy
- Very high bracing response
 - Relaxation training
- Substance abuse to treat anxiety
 - Opioid contract, treat addiction

Case History 2

- Middle aged woman
- Back injury
- Excessive disability
- Chronically noncompliant with physical therapy

Battery for Health Improvement 2 Patient Profile									
Scales	Raw Score	T S Patient	cores Comm.	-	T-Sc	ore Profile	Rating	Percentile	
Validity Scales		+		10	40	50 60	90		
Self-Disclosure	108	51	55			M M M	Average	56%	
Defensiveness	10	42	36				Mod. Low	24%	
Physical Symptom Scale	es								
Somatic Complaints	44	69	82				Very High	94%	
Pain Complaints	38	56	63				Mod. High	73%	
Functional Complaints	26	74	90				Ext. High	98%	
Muscular Bracing	16	59	66	1		// ///////////////////////////////////	Mod. High	82%	
Affective Scales					·····	[]X[]]]]);			
Depression	31	70	77			\mathcal{O}	Very High	96%	
Anxiety	17	54	57			Markin 💛	Average	68%	
Hostility	11	43	44				Average	22%	
Character Scales						<i>[]X[]]]]</i> }			
Borderline	13	50	52				Average	49%	
Symptom Dependency	8	47	53				Average	32%	
Chronic Maladjustment	9	46	48				Average	35%	
Substance Abuse	9	61	65				High	86%	
Perseverance	38	64	64			//////////////////////////////////////	High	92%	
Psychosocial Scales					·····////	1X//////			
Family Dysfunction	12	54	55				Average	68%	
Survivor of Violence	14	64	69	1			High	90%	
Doctor Dissatisfaction	6	44	48				Average	29%	
Job Dissatisfaction	14	49	53				Average	41%	
	1	I	1	1		//A//////		DV 1.0	

Treatment Plan

- History of rape in childhood and can't stand for her male PT to touch her
 - Find female PT, reduce hands on work
- Severe depression with suicidal ideation
 - Tx depression, monitor safety
- Extreme somatic distress
 - Stress management training

Case History 3

- Prison guard injured during training exercise
- Being considered for cervical fusion
- Angry and threatening
- Demands to be "fixed"

Scales	Raw	T So	cores	T-Score Profile	Bating	Dercentile
ocales	Score	Patient	Comm.	1-Score Prome	maung	Feicentile
Validity Scales		•	0	10 40 50 60 9	90	
Self-Disclosure	169	69	71		Very High	97%
Defensiveness	9	40	33		Low	17%
Physical Symptom Scale	es			·····	-	
Somatic Complaints	51	74	88	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Very High	97%
Pain Complaints	68	72	82		Very High	97%
Functional Complaints	14	51	62		Mod. High	58%
Muscular Bracing	13	52	59		Average	59%
Affective Scales					-	
Depression	28	66	72		High	92%
Anxiety	18	56	59		Average	74%
Hostility	41	83	84		Ext. High	99%
Character Scales					-	
<u>Borderline</u>	24	67	70		Very High	95%
Symptom Dependency	12	57	62		Mod. High	80%
Chronic Maladjustment	23	76	77		Ext. High	99%
Substance Abuse	5	51	53		Average	64%
Perseverance	30	51	50		Average	54%
Psychosocial Scales			•			
Family Dysfunction	12	54	55		Average	68%
Survivor of Violence	10	56	60		Mod. High	75%
Doctor Dissatisfaction	9	50	55		Average	49%
Job Dissatisfaction	27	66	73		High	94%
						V1

Battery for Health Improvement 2

Patient Profile

Treatment Plan

- · Hostile and dangerous to others
- · Long history of maladjustment
- Treat depression and anger with Rx and cognitive therapy
- Pain management treatment
- Monitor dangerousness

Psych vs Surgery

- For select patients, psych coping treatment is as effective as lumbar fusion surgery for chronic back pain
 - Mirza and Deyo, 2007; Chou et al 2009
- The <u>initial</u> costs of lumbar fusion surgery are 168x more than for psych coping treatment
 - Bruns, Mueller and Warren, 2012

Guidelines Recommending Pretreatment Psych Evals

- Colorado
- ACOEM
- ODG

What Happens When you Mandate The Biopsychosocial Model?

- Colorado N = 520,314
- Rest of USA $N \approx 28.6$ million
- Mean Medical Cost Per Case: 1992 2007
- Bruns, Mueller and Warren, 2012





Estimated Colorado WC cost savings in 2007 alone:

\$859,000,000

Bruns, Mueller and Warren, 2012

downloaded from www.PearsonClinical.com

How Does the Biopsychosocial Model Save Money?

The Goal of Many Orthopedic Surgeries is to Change Verbal Behavior

Bruns and Disorbio, 2009

downloaded from www.PearsonClinical.com

Surgery does not...

- Change verbal behavior
- Cure addiction
- Cure depression
- Cure somatization
- Make a person want to work

Colorado Guidelines: When to Refer for Psych Testing

- <u>All patients with</u> <u>chronic pain</u>
- Prior to biofeedback, CBT, and interdisciplinary treatment
- Lumbar fusion
- Spinal cord stimulators
- Artificial disc

- back surgery, if Waddell signs > 2
- Facet rhizotomy
- IDET
- Some shoulder surgeries
- > 8 weeks of TX and no progress
- Discograms

How do you make a referral for a psychological evaluation?

Biomedical Style

There is nothing physically wrong with you. The pain is all in your head. You need to see a psychologist!

Biopsychosocial Style

My goal is to address how you are doing both physically and emotionally.

Having you see a psychologist will help me understand you better, and to offer you better care.

Conclusions

- Psychological services are now accepted as an integral part of the assessment and treatment of pain conditions
- Utilizing psychological assessments and the biopsychosocial model is associated with both better care and controlled costs





Case History 3

- Prison guard injured during training exercise
- Being considered for cervical fusion
- Angry and threatening
- Demands to be "fixed"

Battery for Health Improvement 2

Patient Profile

Scales	Raw	TS	ores	T-Score Profile	Rating	Percentile
	Score	Patient	Comm.			
Validity Scales	-	•	<u> </u>	10 <u>40</u> 50 60 9	0	
Self-Disclosure	169	69	71		Very High	97%
Defensiveness	9	40	33		Low	17%
Physical Symptom Scale	s					
Somatic Complaints	51	74	88		Very High	97 %
Pain Complaints	68	72	82		Very High	97%
Functional Complaints	14	51	62		Mod. High	58%
Muscular Bracing	13	52	59		Average	59%
Affective Scales						
Depression	28	66	72		High	92%
Anxiety	18	56	59		Average	74%
Hostility	41	83	84		Ext. High	99%
Character Scales						
Borderline	24	67	70		Very High	95%
Symptom Dependency	12	57	62		Mod. High	80%
Chronic Maladjustment	23	76	77		Ext. High	99%
Substance Abuse	5	51	53		Average	64%
Perseverance	30	51	50		Average	54%
Psychosocial Scales						
Family Dysfunction	12	54	55		Average	68%
Survivor of Violence	10	56	60		Mod. High	75%
Doctor Dissatisfaction	9	50	55		Average	49%
Job Dissatisfaction	27	66	73		High	94%
						V 1.0

downloaded from www.PearsonClinical.com

Treatment Plan

- Hostile and dangerous to others
- Long history of maladjustment
- Treat depression and anger with Rx and cognitive therapy
- Pain management treatment
- Delay <u>elective</u> surgeries till dangerousness addressed