

BDI®-II Beck Depression Inventory®-II Interpretive Report *Aaron T. Beck*

Name:	John Sample
Age:	18
Gender:	Male
Marital Status:	Single
Education:	High School
Occupation:	Student
Date Assessed:	10/10/2016

PsychCorp

Copyright © 2009 NCS Pearson, Inc. All rights reserved.

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory, Pearson, the **PSI logo,** and **PsychCorp** are trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries of Pearson Education, Inc., or its affiliate(s).

PEARSON

TRADE SECRET INFORMATION


Not for release under HIPAA or other data disclosure laws that exempt trade secrets from disclosure.

[1.1/1/QG]

ALWAYS LEARNING

ASSESSMENT RESULTS

The following graph and table presents the client's T score, which is based on the norm for a non-clinical sample. This information may be useful in estimating the severity of the client's symptoms relative to this group. T scores of 50 are average for the group (standard deviation = 10 T score points).



INTERPRETATION

The clinician should consider the possibility that the patient is at **IMMINENT RISK FOR SUICIDE.** He endorses the following critical statement:

Item Content Omitted.

The clinician should probe the depth and breadth of the patient's suicidal thoughts, especially if he has a history of suicide attempts. Administration of the *Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation* or other appropriate assessment procedure should be considered and the need for immediate intervention assessed. The patient's condition should be closely monitored.

The combination of suicide ideation and relatively high level of depression is a clear danger signal. The clinician should note that the patient indicates a level of despair about the future consistent with a significant risk for eventual suicide and therefore, should be carefully investigated. The clinician may wish to ask the patient how he copes with his depression and sense of pessimism about the future. Administration of the *Beck Hopelessness Scale* or other appropriate assessment procedure is recommended.

For a statistically significant* change to have occurred, the patient's subsequent BDI-II score must be above 28 or below 19.

*90% confidence level, controlling for regression to the mean and the reliability of the test.

ENDORSED ITEMS

The patient endorses the following statements on the BDI-II:

Moderate

- Item Content Omitted.
 Item Content Omitted.
 Item Content Omitted.
- 14. Item Content Omitted.
- 20. Item Content Omitted.

Mild

- Item Content Omitted.
 Item Content Omitted.
 Item Content Omitted.
- 4. Item Content Omitted
- 6. Item Content Omitted.
- 8. Item Content Omitted.
 10. Item Content Omitted
- 11. Item Content Omitted.
- 12. Item Content Omitted.
- 13. Item Content Omitted.



Special Note

The content of the test items is included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report. BDI®-II Interpretive Report 08/05/2016, Page 4

- 15. Item Content Omitted.
- 16. Item Content Omitted.
- 17. Item Content Omitted.
- 18. Item Content Omitted.
 19. Item Content Omitted.
- 21. Item Content Omitted.

Minimal

7. Item Content Omitted.

End of Report

NOTE: This and previous pages of this report contain trade secrets and are not to be released in response to requests under HIPAA (or any other data disclosure law that exempts trade secret information from release). Further, release in response to litigation discovery demands should be made only in accordance with your profession's ethical guidelines and under an appropriate protective order.

SAND

Lisa Johns

ITEM RESPONSES

1: 1	2: 2	3: 1	4: 1	5: 2	6: 1	7: 0	8: 1	9: 2	10: 1
11: 1	12: 1	13: 1	14: 2	15: 1	16: 1	17: 1	18: 2	19: 1	20: 2
21: 1									

